

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/871,277	MA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin I. King	2111	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Justin I. King (USPTO Personnel).

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Curran (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2004

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

102

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The scope of the amended claim 102 is inconsistent with other claims. In order to expedite the prosecution, claims 102-109 and 111-114 are canceled without prejudice and disclaimer.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)